	Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	arv 10/	002,217	NEMECEK, CRAIG	
Examiner-initiated interview carmin	Ex	aminer	Art Unit	
	Jas	on Proctor	2123	
l Participants: Status of Application:				
(1) <u>Jason Proctor</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>Amir Tabarrok</u> .	•	(4)		
Date of Interview: 26 July 2007	Т	ime: <u>3:30 pm</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	_ • •	representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112				
Claims discussed: 1				
Prior art documents discussed: NA				
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL	NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.	•			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The exa eparate recor	aminer will provide a wri	tten summary of the interview, since	he substance
		·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/App	licant's Representative	Signature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Current amendments to the claim language with regard to 35 USC 112. The subject matter disclosed in FIG 8 and pages 26-27 of the specification is agreed to show substantially what is claimed in the independent claims. In the Examiner's opinion, the form and clarity of the independent claims does not correspond to these parts of the specification. Proposed amendments to claim 1 were discussed and submitted by Applicants on 27 July 2007. The Examiner concluded that these proposed amendments did not resolve all issues, and therefore has not entered the proposed amendments. The attached office action is directed to the 7 June 2007 papers. Applicants are encouraged to schedule another interview to resolve the outstanding rejections under 35 USC 112.